IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL LEE GORDON,

Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. 2:06-cv-00065 JUDGE HOLSCHUH MAGISTRATE JUDGE KING

STATE OF OHIO,

Respondent.

OPINION AND ORDER

On October 3, 2006, the Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* recommending that the instant petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254 be dismissed because petitioner had procedurally defaulted the claims asserted in this action. Petitioner has filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Report and Recommendation*. See Doc. No. 25. For the reasons that follow, petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED.** The *Report and Recommendation* is **ADOPTED and AFFIRMED** and this action is hereby **DISMISSED.**

Petitioner objects to the Magistrate Judge's conclusion that he failed to demonstrate cause for his procedural defaults in failing to file a timely appeal to the Ohio Supreme Court. As he did before the Magistrate Judge, petitioner again argues that prison officials prevented him from filing timely appeals and failed to provide adequate resources for him to do so; in making these arguments, petitioner again refers to the exhibits he attached in support of this allegation. Petitioner also objects to the Magistrate Judge's denial of his request for an evidentiary hearing on the issue of whether petitioner can establish cause for his procedural defaults.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of those portions

Case: 2:06-cv-00065-GCS-NMK Doc #: 26 Filed: 10/26/06 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 776

of the Report and Recommendation objected to by petitioner. After careful consideration of the

entire record, this Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge's conclusion that petitioner has failed to

establish cause for his procedural defaults, and that an evidentiary hearing on the issue of cause is

not warranted. Therefore, for the reasons detailed in the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED**. The Report and Recommendation is

ADOPTED and AFFIRMED. This action is hereby DISMISSED.

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter **FINAL JUDGMENT** dismissing this case.

Date: October 26, 2006

/s/ John D. Holschuh John D. Holschuh, Judge

United States District Court

2